

5/11/89

Dear Jim,

Apr'opos of Misbit's letter, which I seem to have misplaced less than 10 minutes after reading it and a copy of which I'll enclose when it jumps out of hiding, and the enclosed copy of the Clark panel report, there is much that remains withheld and I think it is worth a letter to try and get it. Along these lines:

Mr Weisberg informs me that the copy of the 1968 JFK assassination medical panel report enclosed with your letter of _____ is ^{4/}but one of a series of records that are within his requests and have not been disclosed. We believe the nature of the information and the considerable public interest in it indicates that the remaining relevant information ought be disclosed.

Mr. Weisberg informs me that these undisclosed record include the initial White House request or suggestion for convoking such a panel (he says the idea was ^{that} of John P. Roche, then intellectual in residence) to ^{the} ~~the~~ working papers. The existence of a number of related records is known. These include correspondence with the representative of the later President's heirs and other correspondence ^{at least one} and ~~another~~ such report, by the autopsy prosecutors, along with ^{their} ~~working~~ papers and correspondence and other records.

He would appreciate disclosure of the remainig relevant records and ^{he believes} ~~needs~~ many others people also would be interested, now and in the future.

The record you provide and others, disclosed and undisclosed, figured in a lawsuit filed in D.C. Superior Court by New Orleans District Attorney General Jim Garrison. ^{in his file} Records relating to that are ^{also} within his ~~by~~ Weisberg's requests.

He also notes that the copy provide has holes punched in it so it could be part of a larger file he believes in, inevitably, relevant.